

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	1	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/620,200	620,200 07/15/2003		Donald E. Weder	8403.932	8714
30589	7590	08/11/2004		EXAMINER	
DUNLAP, PO BOX 16		NG & ROGERS P.C	AHMAD, NASSER		
OKLAHOMA CITY, OK 73113				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
				1772	
				DATE MAIL ED: 08/11/200	4

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
	10/620,200	WEDER, DONALD E.					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Nasser Ahmad	1772					
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period versilier to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be ti y within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) da will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from , cause the application to become ABANDONE	mely filed ys will be considered timely. n the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status							
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 22 O	ctober 2003.						
	action is non-final.						
	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims							
 4) Claim(s) 1-24 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-9,12-21 and 24 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) 10,11,22 and 23 is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or 	vn from consideration.						
Application Papers							
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.						
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ acce							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the o		• •					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correcting 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Example 11.							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of 	s have been received. s have been received in Applicati ity documents have been receive (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage					
Attachment(s)							
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 10/22/03.	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:						

Application/Control Number: 10/620,200

Art Unit: 1772

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 1-9 and 13-21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Weder (5111613).

Weder elates to a method for providing decorative polymeric ribbon material. The method comprises providing a flexible sheet of polymeric material and cutting the sheet into strips that can be wrapped about an item. The sheet may be a single layer or multilayered (col. 3, lines 28-30). The thickness is 1.0- 2.5 mils (col. 3, lines 36-42). Further, in col. 3, line 64 to col. 4, line 3, Weder relates to a method of embossing and/or printing the sheet. However, Weder fails tot each that the sheet simulates texture or appearance of paper. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art to modify Weder by providing the texture and/or appearance of paper to the polymeric sheet because both the material and the process of forming the embossed structure are the same and hence, it would obviously provide the sheet with simulation and/or appearance of paper.

3. Claims 12 and 24 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Weder in view of the English abstract of Japanese: 74029902 B.

Weder, as discussed above, fails to teach that the sheet is an expanded core film. The English abstract of Japanese: 74029902 B discloses a foamed sheet that is embossed to exhibit paper-like characteristics. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art to utilize the English abstract's teaching or using foamed sheet in the invention of Weder to provide for embossed sheet having paper-like characteristics with the motivation to impart aesthetic appeal.

Allowable Subject Matter

4. Claims 10-11 and 22-23 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

The prior art uncovered so far fails to teach the printing with matted or foamable ink and lacquered with matted or foamable lacquer.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Nasser Ahmad whose telephone number is 571-272-1487. The examiner can normally be reached on 7:30 AM to 5:00 PM, and on alternate Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Harold Pyon can be reached on 571-272-1498. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Art Unit: 1772

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Nasser Ahmad Primary Examiner Art Unit 1772

N. Ahmad. August 9, 2004.